

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	<b>O</b> . 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,634		12/16/2003	Franck Landrieve	0507-1065	3516
466	7590	12/12/2006		EXAMINER	
	& THOM		BURCH, MELODY M		
2ND FLO		IREEI	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLING	ΓΟN, VA	22202		3683	
				DATE MAIL ED. 12/12/200	<b>c</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,634	LANDRIEVE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melody M. Burch	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I   - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.   - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a ro d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  pply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	November 2006.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	· ·n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/8/06 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by WO01/73447 (using US Patent 6796713 to Landrieve as an English equivalent) in view of US Patent 1865566 to Hodge.

Re: claims 1-5, 16, 18-20, 22, and 23. Landrieve shows in figure 6 a braked rolling bearing device of the type for a control wheel, comprising an outer part 2 and an inner part 4, one (the inner part) being able to rotate with respect to the other, which does not rotate, by means of at least one row of rolling elements 6 arranged between

Art Unit: 3683

the rotating and non-rotating parts, the device further comprising a means for detecting rotating parameters 27,28,35, a means 29,30,40,41,33b for braking the rotating part (Examiner notes that by virtue of elements 29,30,40,41 continuously contacting inner part 4 via element 33b the frictional force between the contacting elements provides a continuous braking force on the rotating part during rotation thereof), the means for braking comprising an annular friction member 33b and at least one component equipped with a flexible tab 29, 40, 41 directly bearing against the annular friction member to continuously brake the rotating part.

Landrieve is silent with regards to element 41 being made up of separate or individual flexible seal members connected to the flexible tabs.

Hodge teaches in lines 51-59 on pg. 1 the use of a plurality of seals instead of an integral or annular seal.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the annular seal or flexible member of Landrieve to have included a plurality of seals or flexible members, as taught by Hodge, in order to provide a functionally equivalent means of effecting a seal between two components.

Also, in In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960) the court held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced.

Re: claim 6. Landrieve shows in figure 6 wherein the means for braking is pushfitted onto a support 25 of the outer part.

Art Unit: 3683

Re: claim 7. Landrieve shows in figure 6 wherein the means for braking is pushfitted onto a shaft 33c secured to the inner part.

Re: claims 8 and 9. Landrieve shows in figure 6 wherein the means for braking comprises a push-fit portion 40a that extends axially with respect to an axis of rotation of the device and a portion equipped with the tabs 29 that extends radially with respect to the axis of rotation, the tabs extending axially with respect to the axis of rotation.

Re: claim 10. Landrieve shows in figure 6 wherein the means for braking forms a sealing means 41 by way of a narrow passage or space between 33b and the bottom of 40.

Re: claim 11. Landrieve shows in figure 6 wherein the annular friction member comprises a support 33b and a friction lining 41.

Re: claims 12-14. Landrieve shows in figure 6 wherein the annular friction member comprises a support 33b mounted axially between the inner part the right side portions of the inner part and a shoulder (shown below the lead line of number 29) of an element 33c secured to the inner part.

Re: claim 15. Landrieve shows in figure 6 a seal 42 protecting the means for braking.

Re: claim 17. Landrieve shows in figure 6 the means for detecting the rotation parameters comprising a sensor mounted in a cover 19 equipped with a wire outlet for element 20.

Art Unit: 3683

Re: claim 21. Landrieve shows in figure 6 a cover 25 fixed onto an end of a casing 19 enclosing the device so as to close off the casing on a side (of element 32) opposite to the control wheel 33c.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melody M. Burch whose telephone number is 571-272-7114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on 571-272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mmb December 10, 2006

Melody M. Burch
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3683